UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

OWEN HARTY, Individually,

.. ..

Plaintiff,

Case No. 7:18-cv-01694

٧.

BROADWAY-MIDDLETOWN MOTEL CORP.,: A New York Corporation,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

(Injunctive Relief And Damages Demanded)

Plaintiff, OWEN HARTY, Individually, on his behalf and on behalf of all other individuals similarly situated, (sometimes referred to as "Plaintiff"), hereby sues the Defendant, Broadway-Middletown Motel Corp., A New York corporation, (sometimes referred to as "Defendant"), for Injunctive Relief, and attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. ("ADA") and damages pursuant to the New York State Human Rights Law, NYS Exec. Law Section 296(2)(a) ("NYSHRL").

- 1. Plaintiff is a Florida resident, lives in Broward County, is sui juris, and qualifies as an individual with disabilities as defined by the ADA. Plaintiff is mobility impaired and is bound to ambulate in a wheelchair.
- 2. Defendant's property, 68 Crystal Run Rd. Middletown New York 10941, which contains a Holiday Inn, is located in the Town of Wallkill, County of Orange.

- 3. Venue is properly located in the SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK because venue lies in the judicial district of the property situs. The Defendant's property is located in, and does business within this judicial district.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343, this Court has been given original jurisdiction over actions which arise from the Defendant's violations of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. See also 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and § 2202.

Count I - Violation Of The ADA

- 5. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1-4 as if set forth fully hereunder.
- 6. Plaintiff has visited the property which forms the basis of this lawsuit and plans to return to the property to avail himself of the goods and services offered to the public at the property, and to determine whether the property has been made ADA compliant. The Plaintiff has encountered architectural barriers at the subject property which discriminate against him on the basis of his disability and have endangered his safety. These barriers also prevent Plaintiff from returning to the property to enjoy the goods and services available to the public.

 Plaintiff is also a tester for the purpose of asserting his civil rights and monitoring, ensuring, and determining whether places of public accommodation are in compliance with the ADA.
- 7. Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer direct and indirect injury as a result of the Defendant's discrimination until the Defendant is compelled to comply with the requirements of the ADA. Plaintiff is deterred from, and is denied the opportunity to participate and benefit from the goods, services, privileges, advantages, facilities and accommodations at Defendant's property equal to that afforded to other individuals. Plaintiff is aware that it

would be a futile gesture to attempt to visit Defendant's property if he wishes to do so free of discrimination.

- 8. Defendant owns, leases, leases to, or operates a place of public accommodation as defined by the ADA and the regulations implementing the ADA, 28 CFR 36.201(a) and 36.104.

 Defendant is responsible for complying with the obligations of the ADA. The place of public accommodation that the Defendant owns, operates, leases or leases to is known as The Holiday Inn, 68 Crystal Run Rd. Middletown New York,10941, Town of Wallkill, County of Orange.
- 9. Plaintiff has a realistic, credible, existing and continuing threat of discrimination from the Defendant's non-compliance with the ADA with respect to this property as described but not necessarily limited to the allegations in paragraph 11 of this Complaint. Plaintiff has reasonable grounds to believe that he will continue to be subjected to discrimination in violation of the ADA by the Defendant. Plaintiff desires to visit 68 Crystal Run Rd. Wallkill, NY 10941 not only to avail himself of the goods and services available at the property but to assure himself that this property is in compliance with the ADA so that he and others similarly situated will have full and equal enjoyment of the property without fear of discrimination.
- 10. The Defendant has discriminated against the Plaintiff by denying him access to, and full and equal enjoyment of, the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the subject property, as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. § 12182 et seq.
- 11. The Defendant has discriminated, and is continuing to discriminate, against the Plaintiff in violation of the ADA by failing to, <u>inter alia</u>, have accessible facilities by January 26, 1992

(or January 26, 1993, if Defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). A preliminary inspection of 68 Crystal Run Rd. Wallkill, NY 10941 has shown that violations exist. These violations include, but are not limited to:

- i. Defendant fails to adhere to a policy, practice and procedure to ensure that all goods, services and facilities are readily accessible to and usable by the disabled.
- ii. Defendant fails to maintain its features to ensure that they are readily accessible and usable by the disabled.
- iii. There is a lack of compliant, accessible route connecting the disabled parking spaces with all the goods, services and facilities of the property, with improper door thresholds, inaccessible doorways, hazards on ground surfaces, obstructions, narrow or blocked passageways, lack of sufficient maneuvering space.
- iv. There is an insufficient number of compliant parking spaces and access aisles, with lack of compliant signage, missing signage.
- v. There are non-compliant restrooms, with non-compliant doorways, inaccessible commodes, flush controls on wrong side, inaccessible sinks, unwrapped pipes, poorly wrapped pipes, pipe wrapping falling off.
- vi. There is an insufficient number of compliant, designated accessible guest rooms, with non-compliant doorways, insufficient door clearance, inaccessible sinks, inaccessible commodes, flush controls on wrong side, inaccessible table, improperly located amenities and controls, non-compliant shower, lack of fixed shower/tub seat, lack of compliant grab bars, lack of sufficient maneuvering space,

- 12. The discriminatory violations described in paragraph 11 are not an exclusive list of the Defendant's ADA violations. Plaintiff requires the inspection of the Defendant's place of public accommodation in order to photograph and measure all of the discriminatory acts violating the ADA and all of the barriers to access. The Plaintiff, and all other individuals similarly situated, have been denied access to, and have been denied the benefits of services, programs and activities of the Defendant's buildings and its facilities, and have otherwise been discriminated against and damaged by the Defendant because of the Defendant's ADA violations, as set forth above. The Plaintiff and all others similarly situated will continue to suffer such discrimination, injury and damage without the immediate relief provided by the ADA as requested herein. In order to remedy this discriminatory situation, the Plaintiff requires an inspection of the Defendant's place of public accommodation in order to determine all of the areas of non-compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- 13. Defendant has discriminated against the Plaintiff by denying him access to full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of its place of public accommodation or commercial facility in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. and 28 CFR 36.302 et seq. Furthermore, the Defendant continues to discriminate against the Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated by failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities; and by failing to take such efforts that may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied

- services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services.
- 14. Plaintiff is suffering irreparable harm and is entitled to injunctive relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 12188. Plaintiff has retained the undersigned counsel and is entitled to recover attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses from the Defendant pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205 and 28 CFR 36.505.
- 15. Defendant is required to remove the existing architectural barriers to the physically disabled when such removal is readily achievable for its place of public accommodation that have existed prior to January 26, 1992, 28 CFR 36.304(a); in the alternative, if there has been an alteration to Defendant's place of public accommodation since January 26, 1992, then the Defendant is required to ensure to the maximum extent feasible, that the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs, 28 CFR 36.402; and finally, if the Defendant's facility is one which was designed and constructed for first occupancy subsequent to January 26, 1993, as defined in 28 CFR 36.401, then the Defendant's facility must be readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities as defined by the ADA.
- 16. Notice to Defendant is not required as a result of the Defendant's failure to cure the violations by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). All other conditions precedent have been met by Plaintiff or waived by the Defendant.

17. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188, this Court is provided with authority to grant Plaintiff
Injunctive Relief, including an order to require the Defendant to alter 68 Crystal Run Rd.
Wallkill, NY 10941 to make those facilities readily accessible and useable to the Plaintiff
and all other persons with disabilities as defined by the ADA; or by closing the facility
until such time as the Defendant cures its violations of the ADA.

Count II - Damages Pursuant to NYSHRL

- 18. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1-17 as if set forth fully hereunder.
- 19. The NYSHRL provides:
 - (a) It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice for any person, being the owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, agent, or employee of any place of public accommodation... because of the... disability ... of any person, directly or indirectly, to refuse, withhold from or deny to such person any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges thereof ... to the effect that any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges of any such place shall be refused, withheld from or denied to any person on account of ... disability...

NYS Exec. Law Section 296(2)(a).

- 20. Under NYS Exec. Law Section 296(2)(c), discrimination includes:
 - (i) a refusal to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless such person can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations;
 - (ii) a refusal to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded or denied services because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless such person can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the facility, privilege, advantage or accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden;
 - (iii) a refusal to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where such removal is readily achievable[.]

- 21. Defendant's property is a place of public accommodation as defined by the NYSHRL.
- 22. Defendant has violated the NYSHRL
- As a result of Defendant's violation of the NYSHRL, Plaintiff suffered frustration, humiliation, embarrassment, segregation, exclusion, loss of the equality of opportunity, and has otherwise been damaged.
- 24. Pursuant to NYS Exec. Law Section 297(9), Plaintiff is entitled to damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests:

- a. The Court issue a Declaratory Judgment that determines that the Defendant at the commencement of the subject lawsuit is in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. and the NYSHRL.
- b. Injunctive relief against the Defendant including an order to make all readily achievable alterations to the facility; or to make such facility readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA; and to require the Defendant to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities; and by failing to take such stops that may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services.
- c. An award of attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205.
- d. An award of damages in the amount of \$1000.00 or in such amounts as the Court deems just and proper.

Case 7:18-cv-01694-NSR Document 1 Filed 02/23/18 Page 9 of 9

e. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper, and/or is allowable under Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Dated: New York, New York

February 23, 2018

Respectfully Submitted,

By:

Peter Sverd, Esq. (PS0406)

Of Counsel to Thomas B. Bacon, P.A.

225 Broadway

Suite 613

New York, New York 10007

Tel.: (646) 751-8743 Fax: (212) 964-9516

psverd@sverdlawfirm.com